FILED IN THE U.S. DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON

May 21, 2018

SEAN F. MCAVOY, CLERK

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON

5

6

15

17

18

25

8 STEVEN HONKUS. NO. 2:16-cy-00312-SAB 10 Plaintiff. 11 v. 12 TRIMBLE NAVIGATION LTD., ORDER GRANTING **DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR** 13 Defendant. RECONSIDERATION 14

Before the Court is Defendant's Motion for Reconsideration Re: Sanctions. 16 ECF No. 40. The motion was heard without oral argument. For the reasons stated herein, the Court grants the motion and denies Plaintiff's request for fees and costs.

On April 5, 2018, the Court heard argument on Defendant's motion to 19 prevent the deposition of Defendant's CFO Robert Painter. At the hearing, the 20 Court denied Defendant's motion for a protective order and indicated that it would 21 award Plaintiff's counsel fees for defending against the motion. As a result, the 22 Court ordered Plaintiff to file an affidavit in support of fees. The affidavit was filed 23 on April 13, 2018. ECF No. 46. Defendant filed the instant motion seeking 24 reconsideration of the Court's ruling on fees on April 6, 2018. ECF No. 40.

Motions for reconsideration are generally disfavored and are considered "an 26 extraordinary remedy, to be used sparingly in the interests of finality and 27 conservation of judicial resources." Kona Enters., Inc. v. Estate of Bishop, 229 28 F.3d 877, 890 (9th Cir. 2000). A motion for reconsideration may be granted when:

ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR **RECONSIDERATION** +1

(1) there is an intervening change in controlling law; (2) the moving party presents 2 newly discovered or previously unavailable evidence; or (3) the motion is 3 necessary to correct manifest errors of law or fact upon which the judgment is 4| based. Turner v. Burlington N. Santa Fe R. Co., 338 F.3d 1058, 1063 (9th Cir. 5 2003). Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 26(c) and 37(a)(5) govern protective orders. Rule 37(a)(5) provides that where a motion for a protective order is denied, 8 the Court 9 must, after giving an opportunity to be heard, require the movant, the 10 attorney filing the motion, or both to pay the party or deponent who 11 opposed the motion its reasonable expenses incurred in opposing the 12 motion, including attorney's fees. But the court must not order this 13 payment if the motion was substantially justified or other 14 circumstances make an award of expenses unjust. 15 Here, the Court expressed its intent to award Plaintiff's counsel fees after it denied 16 Defendant's motion for a protective order. However, at that time, the Court was 17 unaware of the circumstances underlying the necessity of Defendant's motion. 18 Having reviewed the record and the submissions of the parties, the Court believes 19 that an award of expenses is unjust and that Defendant's motion was substantially 20 justified. Accordingly, the Court grants Defendant's motion for reconsideration 21 and denies Plaintiff's counsel's request for fees. 22 // 23 | // 24||// 25 // 26 | // 27||28

ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR

RECONSIDERATION +2

1. Defendant's Motion for Reconsideration Re: Sanctions, ECF No. 40, is GRANTED.

2. Plaintiff's counsel's request for fees regarding Defendant's Motion for 5 Protective Order Re: Deposition of Robert Painter, ECF No. 26, is **denied**.

IT IS SO ORDERED. The District Court Clerk is hereby directed to enter this Order and provide copies to counsel.

DATED this 21st day of May 2018.



Stanley A. Bastian United States District Judge